



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,764	07/25/2001	Raffie Eskandarian	60116-800US01	5610
75	90 12/22/2005		EXAMINER	
Anna M Vradenburgh			SHERKAT, AREZOO	
555 St Charles 1	Drive			
Suite 107			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360			2131	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)

Advisory Action	09/912,764	ESKANDARIAN, RAFFI	E			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address	<u> </u>			
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a)						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension fee final Office action; or (2) as son, even if timely filed, may red	e under 37 set forth in (b) duce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PT	[OL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendment	canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an expl	lanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attached	l.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See note attached.			because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:		No(s) Nory Exammer 2131 1965				
	Pm	vary Examiner				
	m ¹	1965				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

. .

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Applicant argues that there is not teaching or suggestion that the data receiving region is defined by a matrix as defined in the claim language. However, Office responds that Moussa discloses "at a partition step 402, the input signature 103 may be partitioned into m equal intervals along the X axis (i.e., matrix). A preferred value for m may be 64. The smallest and largest X coordinates may be determined and the X interval for input signature 103 may be divided into m equal intervals. Each pixel may be assigned to one of these intervals" (Col. 4, lines 39-67 and Col. 6, lines 1-40).